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LIST OF SYMBOLS

\[ b = \text{constant} \]
\[ C_{p_b} = \frac{P_c - P_a}{2 \int U^2} \]
\[ C_p = \frac{P_c - P_a}{P_a - P_o} \]

H = altitude of nozzle
J = momentum of jet
k = \( \frac{P}{\chi} \)

pa = atmospheric pressure
pc = cushion pressure
po = total jet pressure
R = radius of curvature
r* = dimensionless radius
t = width of nozzle exit

\( U_0 = \) mean exit velocity of jet
\( V_0 = \) tangential velocity
\( V_r = \) velocity of radial direction

\[ \psi^* = r^* - 1 \]
\[ \varepsilon = \text{eddy viscosity} \]
\[ \rho = \text{density of air} \]
\[ \eta = \text{dimensionless variable} = \frac{k \psi^*}{\chi} \]
\[ \phi_1 = \text{inclination of nozzle} \]
\[ \phi_2 = \text{angle of jet impinges on the ground} \]
\[ \psi^* = \text{stream function} = \theta^* f(\eta) \]
\[ \chi_1 = \text{constant of eddy viscosity} \]
\[ \xi = \frac{1}{2 \sqrt{\chi_1 \lambda}} \]
\[ \lambda = \frac{U_1 - U_2}{U_1 + U_2} = 1 \]
INTRODUCTION

One type of ground effect machine is designed so that the pressure of the air cushion is contained by a peripheral curtain jet. Analyses of this curtain, under the assumption of inviscid and incompressible flow, have been given by Ehrich \(^1\) and Strand \(^2\). However, as first indicated by Chaplin \(^3\), the effect of jet entrainment on the annular jet due to the viscosity of fluid flow is to reduce the cushion pressure significantly. Theories concerning the effect of mixing have been developed by Chaplin \(^3\) and Bradbury \(^4\). Bradbury attempted to calculate the entrainment rate by approximating the curtain velocity distribution to that in a two-dimensional free jet \(^5\).

Bradbury's analysis, however, is open to criticism on several grounds. In the first place it neglects the effect of the vortices which are established at both the inner and outer sides of the curtain jet, as discussed by Poisson-Quinton. \(^6\) Secondly, the assumption that the turbulent mixing process in a curved jet is substantially the same as that in a straight jet is questionable. Experimental studies of jet flows in recent years (e.g. Grant\(^7\)) suggests that the process of entrainment is controlled by coherent, transverse, high velocity eruptions of fluid from the jet centerline outwards, interspersed by regions of relatively slow inflow towards the centerline. It might therefore be argued that high curvature of the jet would selectively enhance these eruptive motion on the outer (convex) boundary of the jet and suppress them on the inner (concave) side. Consequently, in the case of the curtain jet, increased entrainment might be expected inside the curtain and decreased entrainment outside it. Finally, as discussed in more detail later, the horizontal momentum balance across the curtain is to some extent dependent on the slope of the velocity distribution immediately prior to impact of the jet curtain on the ground. Consequently it is important to determine the nature of this profile with some accuracy.
A major object of the present study is therefore to derive a more detailed analysis of the mixing process in a highly curved jet curtain and hence to obtain a more accurate estimate of the loss of cushion pressure due to jet mixing. A second objective is to determine the extent to which the cushion pressure is affected by the nature of the recirculatory flow within the cushion. The present report presents preliminary attempts to establish a suitable mixing theory for the jet curtain.

DISCUSSION

1. Basic Equations of Motion

The annular jet is assumed to be two-dimensional at the moderate height/jet width ratios considered. The flow pattern is assumed to be as shown in Fig. 2. The jet is assumed to have constant curvature $R$ until it impinges on the ground at an angle $\phi$. Following impingement, a part $(M_2)$ of the jet flows inward into the base cavity and the rest $M$ flows outward along the ground. In steady flow the inward mass flow $M_2$ must be equal to the mass entrainment from the base cavity. Since the velocity of the jet is not very high, the variation of the density of the flow is not appreciable, and the assumption of incompressible fluid is valid. The mixing process is assumed to be turbulent and governed by an eddy viscosity $\nu$.

The height/width of jet ratios (h/t) of practical interest here are in the range 3 - 6, so that the jet width $t$ may be assumed small in relation to the radius of curvature, $R$, without incurring serious error. With this assumption, the relevant equation of motion and continuity may be written as

$$\left( \frac{\partial V_r}{\partial R} \right) + \left( \frac{V_r}{R} \right) + \frac{1}{R} \left( \frac{\partial V_\theta}{\partial \theta} \right) = 0 \quad (1)$$

$$V_r \left( \frac{\partial V_\theta}{\partial R} \right) + \frac{V_\theta}{R} \left( \frac{\partial V_\theta}{\partial \theta} \right) = \frac{\varepsilon}{\rho} \left( \frac{\partial^2 V_\theta}{\partial R^2} \right) \quad (2)$$

$$\phi \left( \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial R^2} \right) = \frac{2 \rho}{\partial R} \quad (3)$$
where $V_\theta$, $V_r$, $\phi$ and $\epsilon$ are tangential velocity component, radial velocity component, fluid density and the eddy viscosity respectively.

Non-dimensionalizing equation (2) as follows

$$V_r^* = \frac{V_r}{U_0}, \quad V_\theta^* = \frac{V_\theta}{U_0}, \quad r^* = \frac{r}{R}, \quad \gamma = \frac{\gamma}{R} = r^* - 1 \quad (4)$$

Equation (2) becomes

$$\frac{U_0^2}{R} V_r^* \frac{\partial V_\theta^*}{\partial r^*} + \frac{U_0^2}{R} \frac{V_\theta^*}{r^*} \left( \frac{\partial^2 V_\theta^*}{\partial \theta^2} \right) = \frac{\chi}{\phi} \frac{R \Theta U_0^2}{R^2} \left( \frac{\partial^2 V_\theta^*}{\partial r^* \partial \theta^*} \right) \quad (5)$$

which gives

$$V_r^* \frac{\partial V_\theta^*}{\partial r^*} + \frac{V_\theta^*}{r^*} \left( \frac{\partial V_\theta^*}{\partial \theta^*} \right) = \frac{\chi}{\phi} \Theta \left( \frac{\partial^2 V_\theta^*}{\partial r^* \partial \theta^*} \right) \quad (6)$$

Now let $\frac{\chi}{\phi} = \frac{1}{R}$ \quad (7)

so that

$$V_r^* \frac{\partial V_\theta^*}{\partial r^*} + \frac{V_\theta^*}{r^*} \left( \frac{\partial V_\theta^*}{\partial \theta^*} \right) = \frac{\Theta}{R} \left( \frac{\partial^2 V_\theta^*}{\partial r^* \partial \theta^*} \right) \quad (8)$$

and equation (1) becomes

$$\frac{\partial V_r^*}{\partial r^*} + \frac{V_r^*}{r^*} + \frac{1}{r^*} \left( \frac{\partial V_\theta^*}{\partial \theta^*} \right) = 0 \quad (9)$$

The continuity equation (1) can be satisfied by a dimensionless stream function $\psi^* (= \frac{\psi}{U_0 R})$ such that

$$V_r^* = \left( - \frac{1}{r^*} \right) \left( \frac{\partial \psi^*}{\partial \theta^*} \right) \quad (10)$$

$$V_\theta^* = \frac{\partial \psi^*}{\partial r^*} \quad (11)$$

Assuming similarity variables

$$\eta = R \phi^* \Theta^2 \quad (12)$$

$$\psi^* = \Theta^b f(\eta) \quad (13)$$
We obtain

\[ V_r^* = -\frac{1}{R^2} \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial \theta} = -\frac{1}{R^2} \left[ \Theta^b f''(\eta) (b-2) \Theta^{b-3} g'(\eta) + b \Theta^{b-1} f'(\eta) \right] \]

so that

\[ V_\theta^* = \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial \eta} = \Theta^b f'(\eta) \Theta^{b-2} = \Theta^{2b-2} f'(\eta) \]

so that

\[ \frac{\partial V_\theta^*}{\partial \eta} = \Theta^2 \Theta^{2b-4} f''(\eta) \]

\[ \frac{\partial^2 V_\theta^*}{\partial \theta} = \Theta^3 \Theta^{4b-6} f'''(\eta) \]

and

\[ \frac{\partial V_\theta^*}{\partial \eta} = (2b-2) \Theta^{2b-3} f'(\eta) + \Theta^{2b-5} \Theta^2 g'(\eta) (b-2) f'(\eta) \]

Substituting equations (14), (15), (16), (17) and (18) into equation (8) and using the approximation \( \eta^* \approx 1 \) we obtain

\[ - \left[ \Theta^{2b-3} \Theta^2 g'(\eta) (b-2) f'(\eta) + b \Theta^{b-1} f'(\eta) \right] \Theta^{2b-4} \Theta^2 f''(\eta) \]

\[ + \Theta^{2b-2} \Theta f''(\eta) \] \[ \left[ (2b-2) \Theta^{2b-2} \Theta f'(\eta) + \Theta^3 \Theta^{2b-3} g'(\eta) (b-2) \Theta^{b-3} f''(\eta) \right] \]

\[ = \frac{1}{R} \Theta^3 \Theta^{3b-6} f''(\eta) \]

\[ \Theta^2 \Theta^{4b-5} \left[ f''(\eta) + b f'(\eta) f'(\eta) - (2b-2) f'(\eta) \right] = 0 \]

\[ f''(\eta) + b f'(\eta) f'(\eta) + (2-2b) f'(\eta) = 0 \]

Equation (20) with the appropriate boundary conditions provides the required description of the curved jet mixing region.
2. Preliminary Approximation Solution of Jet Mixing Process

As discussed later in Section 4 a major objective of the present program is to obtain an appropriate solution to the equation (20) and hence describe in detail, the process of entrainment and resultant decrease in effectiveness of the curved jet curtain. Before attempting this analysis, however, a preliminary approximate solution of the problem has been sought on the basis of a related analysis by Parks and Petersen for the curved laminar wall jet. As will be seen, the mixing process in the wall jet flow has certain features in common with that in the curved jet curtain so that Petersen's tabulated solution of the former flow provides a quick and convenient first-step approach to the present problem.

The flow geometry for the case of the wall jet is shown in Fig. 2. The flow is initiated in essentially the same way as that in the jet curtain, i.e., by a two-dimensional jet of width $t$ and uniform velocity $U_0$. This jet subsequently flows around a curved, convex solid surface of radius $R$ and develops a characteristic profile as shown in which the velocity increases steadily from zero at the wall to a maximum some distance, $y = y_1$ (say) from the wall, and subsequently decreases to zero with further increase in $y$.

Since we may reasonably assume that the mixing process in the region $y \geq y_1$ is essentially similar to the mixing region on the convex side of the jet curtain, we may utilize that part of the wall jet solution for $y \geq y_1$ to describe the process of mixing and entrainment in the jet curtain. Two difficulties arise here: First, Petersen's analysis is for laminar flow and must be suitably modified for the present application in which turbulent flow is assumed; secondly, a problem arises in relation to the inner boundary conditions chosen, as will now be discussed.
In the case of the wall jet, the maximum velocity (which occurs at \( y = y_1 \)) decreases steadily with distance along the curved path of the jet. In the case of the jet curtain, the situation is more complex. For small h/t ratios, the two mixing regions (on the inner and outer surfaces of the jet) will evidently not meet before the jet impinges on the wall (Fig. 7). The final jet profile immediately before impact will therefore comprise a central unmixed core region with a mixing type profile on either side of it. In this case the solution for each mixing region must satisfy the condition of constant maximum velocity where it bounds the core region.

For large h/t ratios, however, the mixing regions will evidently meet before the jet strikes the ground (Fig. 6) so that a fully-developed profile is obtained at a certain point, beyond which the maximum velocity in the jet will steadily decrease. We therefore utilize the wall-jet mixing solution with the understanding that the results obtained will probably not hold generally over a wide h/t range. Specifically we may expect the solution to fail at both the low and the high end of the h/t ratio range.

We accordingly seek a solution first for the turbulent wall-jet with the appropriate wall-jet boundary conditions.

\[
\lim_{y^* \to 0} V_\theta^* = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad f'(0) = 0 \tag{21}
\]

\[
\lim_{y^* \to 0} \frac{\partial V_\theta}{\partial t} = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad f''(0) = 0 \tag{22}
\]

\[
\psi^* \big|_{y^* = 0} = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad f(0) = 0 \tag{23}
\]

\[
V_\theta^* \big|_{y^* = 0} = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad f'(0) = 0 \tag{24}
\]

Integrating equation (20) from to , we get

\[- f''(\eta) - b f'(\eta) f(\eta) + (2 - 3 b) \int_{\eta}^{0} f' \, d\eta = 0 \tag{25}\]
Multiplying (25) by \( f'(\eta) \) we get

\[-f'(\eta)f''(\eta) - b f(\eta)f'(\eta) + (2-3b)f(\eta)A(\eta) = 0\]  

(26)

Again integrate (26) from \( \eta \) to \( \infty \) yields

\[2f'(\eta) - b \int_{\eta}^{\infty} f(t) \, dt + (2-4b) \int_{\eta}^{\infty} f(\eta)tA(t) \, dt = 0 \]  

(27)

Evaluating equation (27) at \( \eta = 0 \) results in

\[(2-4b) \int_{0}^{\infty} f'(\eta)A(\eta) \, d\eta = 0 \]  

(28)

Since \( f(\eta) > 0 \), \( A(\eta) > 0 \) then \( \int_{0}^{\infty} f(\eta)A(\eta) \, d\eta \neq 0 \)

We therefore require that

\[2 - 4b = 0\]  

(29)

\[b = \frac{1}{2}\]  

(30)

By (30), (12), (13), (15), (20) become

\[\eta = k \gamma^* \theta^{-\frac{3}{2}}\]  

(31)

\[\gamma^* = \theta^{\frac{1}{2}} f(\eta)\]  

(32)

\[V_{\theta} = \theta^{-1} k f(\eta)\]  

(33)

\[f'''(\eta) + \frac{1}{2} f(\eta)f''(\eta) + f'(\eta) = 0\]  

(34)

Let \( f(\eta) = \frac{1}{2} g(\eta) \)

equation (34) becomes

\[\frac{1}{2} g''(\eta) + \frac{1}{8} g'(\eta) g(\eta) + \frac{1}{4} g'(\eta) = 0\]  

(35)
boundary conditions

$$g(0) = 0, \quad g'(0) = 0, \quad g'(\infty) = 0, \quad g''(\infty) = 0$$ \quad (36)

Equation (35) with boundary conditions (36) has been solved by Parks and Petersen as follows:

$$g_\infty = \lim_{\eta \to \infty} g$$ \quad (37)

F is a parameter between 0 and 1.

$$\eta = \frac{1}{g_\infty} \left\{ 4(\frac{3}{4}) \arctan \left[ \frac{F(\frac{3}{4})}{2+F} \right] + 2 \ln \left[ \frac{F^2+F+1}{(F-1)^2} \right] \right\}$$ \quad (38)

$$g = g_\infty F^2$$ \quad (39)

$$g' = g_\infty^2 \left( \frac{3-F^4}{6} \right)$$ \quad (40)

$$g'' = g_\infty^3 \left( \frac{1}{12} \right) (1-F^3)(1-4F^3)$$ \quad (41)

$$g''' = -g_\infty^4 \left( \frac{1}{288} \right) F^2(1-F^3)(5-8F^3)$$ \quad (42)

For $$g_\infty = 1.000$$ is of special importance and has been prepared by Parks Petersen in Table I and Fig. 4.

We now wish to write only that part of the above solution which applies ide the point of maximum wall jet velocity $$y = y_1$$. Let $$\xi^*, \eta$$ corresponds to this point of maximum velocity and let $$\theta_B$$ be the turning angle of the curtain from nozzle exit to the point of impingement as shown in Fig. 2.

We now make the further assumption that the portion of the jet curtain next the cavity, which is represented by the truncated wall jet solution, carries isely half the curtain jet momentum flux and half the curtain jet mass flow the point of impingement on the ground. The first assumption implies 1/2 total momentum

$$\frac{1}{2} \int g \, d\xi = \left[ \int g \, V_0 \, d\xi \right]_{\xi = e} = \frac{f U R^2 \theta_e^2}{2} \left\{ -2 \left[ f' \eta + \frac{1}{2} f(\eta) f'(\eta) \right] \right\} \quad \text{(43)}$$
This assumption would appear reasonable with the framework of the present preliminary analysis. Reorganizing (43), we obtain

\[ \Theta_b = 2 R \left( \frac{R}{b} \right) \left\{ 2 \left[ f(\gamma_s) + \frac{1}{2} f'(\gamma_s) f(\gamma_s) \right] \right\} \]  

(44)

But \( f(\gamma_s) \) is maximum \( f''(\gamma_s) = 0 \)

\[ \Theta_b = 2 R \left( \frac{R}{b} \right) \left[ f(\gamma_s) f(\gamma_s) \right] \]  

(45)

Now let \( y_2 \), \( \gamma_2 \) correspond to the divided stream line which divides the total mass flow in the jet curtain into \( m_1 \) which flow outwards and \( m_2 \) which flows back into the cavity. The second assumption above implies that

\[ \frac{1}{2} \rho u_0 \gamma = \int_{y_2}^{y_2} \rho u_0 \gamma d\gamma = \frac{2}{\Theta_b} \frac{\rho u_0 R}{R} \int_{\gamma_2}^{\gamma_2} \left[ f(\gamma_s) - f(\gamma_s) \right] \]  

(46)

\[ \Theta_b = \frac{2}{\rho u_0 R} \left[ f(\gamma_s) - f(\gamma_s) \right] \]  

(47)

In the case of steady flow the entrained mass flow should be equal to the mass flow inward to the base cavity. As shown in Fig. 2

\[ J_x = \int_{y_2}^{y_2} \rho u_0^2 d\gamma = \rho u_0^2 R \Theta_b \left[ 2 f''(\gamma_s) + f(\gamma_s) f(\gamma_s) \right] \]  

(48)

\[ J_x = \frac{1}{2} \rho u_0^2 t + \int_{\gamma_2}^{\gamma_2} \rho u_0^2 d\gamma \]  

\[ = \frac{1}{2} \rho u_0^2 t - \rho u_0^2 R \Theta_b \left[ f''(\gamma_s) + \frac{1}{2} f(\gamma_s) f(\gamma_s) \right] \]  

\[ - f''(\gamma_s) - \frac{1}{2} f(\gamma_s) f(\gamma_s) \]  

(49)

To find the angle of impingement, let us consider the control volume ABCD in Fig. 4. \( \overline{AB}, \overline{CD} \) are small so we may neglect the pressure difference on surface \( \overline{AB} \) and \( \overline{CD} \). The momentum equation for the x-direction gives

\[ J \cos \phi_2 = J_x - J_2 \]  

(50)
Eliminate \( \frac{P_0 E_{a}^{-\frac{1}{2}}}{R} \) in (52) by substituting equation (45) into (52)

\[
\cos \phi_2 = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{R} \frac{1}{f(\eta_1) f(\eta_2)} \left[ 2 f''(\eta_2) + f'(\eta_2) f(\eta_2) - 4 f'(\eta_2) - 2 f(\eta_2) f'(\eta_2) \right]
\]

Geometric relationships (Fig. 3) give

\[
\theta_a = \pi - \phi_1 - \phi_2
\]

\[
H = R ( \cos \phi_1 + \cos \phi_2 )
\]

\[
\frac{H}{R} = \cos \phi_1 + \cos \phi_2
\]

The momentum equation of control volume ABCD in Fig. 2 gives

\[
(P_c - P_a) H = J \cos \phi_1 + J_2 - J_2 = J \left( \cos \phi_1 + \cos \phi_2 \right)
\]

\[
C_p = \frac{P_c - P_a}{2 \rho u^2} = \frac{2J}{\rho u^2 H} \left[ \cos \phi_1 + \cos \phi_2 \right]
\]

\[
= \varepsilon \left( \frac{\phi}{k} \right) \left( \cos \phi_1 + \cos \phi_2 \right) = \varepsilon \left( \frac{\phi}{k} \right)
\]

We may now summarize and simplify the equations required to calculate the cushion pressure as a function of the variable involved. These equations are (45), (53), (54), (56), (57), and (58) as tabulated again below

Let \( \lim_{y_2 \to 1} g(y_2) = 1.000 \)

\[
\gamma = \frac{y_2}{y_1} \quad g = g_0 \gamma \quad g' = g_0^2 \gamma' \quad g'' = g_0^3 \gamma''
\]
\[ \cos \phi_2 = \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \left( \frac{1}{g_{(\eta^*)} g_{(\eta^*)}} \left[ 4 g''(\eta^*) + q_{(\eta^*)} q_{(\eta^*)} \right] - 8 q''(\eta^*) - 2 q_{(\eta^*)} q_{(\eta^*)} \right) \right\} \]

\[ q_{(\eta^*)} = \frac{2 \Theta_0}{K} x \frac{q_{(\eta^*)} - q_{(\eta^*)}}{g_{(\eta^*)} g_{(\eta^*)}} \]

\[ \frac{1}{K} = \Theta_0 \left[ q_{(\eta^*)} - q_{(\eta^*)} \right] \]

\[ \Theta_0 = \pi - \phi_1 - \phi_2 \]

\[ \frac{H}{t} = \frac{2 (\cos \phi_1 + \cos \phi_2)}{C_p} \]

\[ C_{p_1} = 2 \left( \frac{t}{K} \right) \]

\[ C_p = \frac{2 C_{p_1}}{C_p + 2} \]

**Numerical Example**

The use of equations (64) to calculate coefficient of pressure \( C_p \) can illustrated by the following example:

For the case of the nozzle exit angle \( \phi_1 = 60^\circ \) choose the typical value \( \sigma = 13.5^8 \), \( \beta = 0.00234 \text{ lbm/ft}^3 \), \( \mathcal{J}_1 = \frac{1}{4 \times 13.5^2} \). We then get

\[ K = \sqrt{\frac{\mathcal{J}_1}{\beta}} = \sqrt{\frac{0.00234 \times 4 \times 13.5}{1.31, F_1 = 0.6300, \beta = 8.114793, g_{(\eta^*)} = 0.3969, g_{(\eta^*)} = 0.075745, g''(\eta^*) = 0.} \]

Let \( \eta_2 \) be the coordinate of e dividing streamline. Let \( F_2 = 0.85, \beta = 12.784859 \). Substitute into (41) get

\[ \cos \phi_2 = 0.735 \quad C_{p_1} = 3.000 \quad C_p = 1.200 \]

Several calculations are made and the results are tabulated in Table 2 and Fig. 8 in comparison with the result of inviscid theory and Ribich's result.
As seen the present theory provides reasonable agreement with Ribich's results over a range of moderate h/t ratios. The theoretical values are too high at low h/t, and too low at high h/t's. However, in view of the gross nature of the assumptions made in this preliminary attempt to obtain a solution, it is felt that the results are at least sufficiently encouraging to warrant further and more exact analysis of the jet curtain mixing process along the present lines as proposed in section 4 which follows.

4. Extension of Present Study

Fig. 8 shows a good agreement between the preliminary approximate analysis and Ribich's result at moderately high height to thickness (h/t) ratio. However, when the height to thickness ratio (h/t) is small, the preliminary solution we have obtained deviates considerably from Ribich's results. This deviation is probably due to the fact that some of the assumptions made become increasingly suspect at low h/t ratio. When the height to thickness ratio is small, the mixing layers on both sides of the curtain jet do not meet before the point of impact on the ground. As shown in Figure 7 the jet can then be divided into three regions. These are (1) an inner shear layer, (2) an unmixed core, and (3) an outer shear layer. When the jet thickness is large, the assumption \( U_o = \) constant at nozzle exit may no longer be a good approximation, and \( U \propto \frac{1}{r} \) (inviscid vortex) is probably a more desirable assumption. A more detailed and precise analysis of mixing and entrainment at low h/t is therefore necessary. Referring to Figure 7 the velocity profile in region 1 can be approximated by the velocity profile we have obtained in Section 2 while a similar treatment can be applied to the outer mixing region 3. The assumption of constant velocity, or \( U \), alternatively of inviscid vortical motion will be made in the core region, with the overall condition that jet momentum is conserved.
Similar to our preliminary analysis, we can then formulate equation at the point of impact to determine the amount of entrainment on the inside of curtain jet and hence the amount of recirculatory flow.

Another important objective that needs a careful consideration is the actual recirculatory flow within the cushion and the effect of energy losses in this region. The existence of vortices induced by the curtain make the pressure within it nonuniform and the outside may also differ from the atmosphere value. The effect of external vortices will probably reduce the local pressure acting on the curtain and hence reduce the cushion pressure $p_c$. This effect will undoubtedly be accentuated by vehicle forward speed. No serious analytical study has yet been made of the effect of motion on cushion pressure and this problem will consequently be of primary interest also in the current study.
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Table 1 Values of $\gamma$, $\xi$, and its derivatives as functions of the parameter $\xi$ for $\xi = 1.000$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\xi$</th>
<th>$\gamma$</th>
<th>$C_\xi$</th>
<th>$C'_\xi$</th>
<th>$C''_\xi$</th>
<th>$C'''_\xi$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>0.00000</td>
<td>0.00000</td>
<td>0.00000</td>
<td>0.01388</td>
<td>0.00000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0500</td>
<td>0.00019</td>
<td>0.00250</td>
<td>0.00032</td>
<td>0.01320</td>
<td>0.00017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1000</td>
<td>1.20030</td>
<td>0.00100</td>
<td>0.01650</td>
<td>0.01320</td>
<td>0.00017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2000</td>
<td>2.40432</td>
<td>0.00000</td>
<td>0.00306</td>
<td>0.01337</td>
<td>0.00023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3000</td>
<td>3.62462</td>
<td>0.00000</td>
<td>0.00480</td>
<td>0.01205</td>
<td>0.00045</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3500</td>
<td>4.28415</td>
<td>0.00000</td>
<td>0.00583</td>
<td>0.01101</td>
<td>0.00073</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4000</td>
<td>4.79741</td>
<td>0.00000</td>
<td>0.00680</td>
<td>0.00967</td>
<td>0.00099</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5000</td>
<td>6.20219</td>
<td>0.00000</td>
<td>0.00729</td>
<td>0.00807</td>
<td>0.00122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5500</td>
<td>7.04365</td>
<td>0.00000</td>
<td>0.00769</td>
<td>0.00714</td>
<td>0.00143</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.6000</td>
<td>7.64551</td>
<td>0.00000</td>
<td>0.00791</td>
<td>0.00620</td>
<td>0.00162</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.6500</td>
<td>8.11793</td>
<td>0.00000</td>
<td>0.00803</td>
<td>0.00525</td>
<td>0.00180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.7000</td>
<td>8.63972</td>
<td>0.00000</td>
<td>0.00815</td>
<td>0.00429</td>
<td>0.00197</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.7500</td>
<td>9.04212</td>
<td>0.00000</td>
<td>0.00827</td>
<td>0.00329</td>
<td>0.00214</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.8000</td>
<td>9.36453</td>
<td>0.00000</td>
<td>0.00838</td>
<td>0.00229</td>
<td>0.00231</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.8500</td>
<td>9.61517</td>
<td>0.00000</td>
<td>0.00848</td>
<td>0.00128</td>
<td>0.00247</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.9000</td>
<td>9.80019</td>
<td>0.00000</td>
<td>0.00857</td>
<td>0.00027</td>
<td>0.00263</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.9500</td>
<td>9.94457</td>
<td>0.00000</td>
<td>0.00865</td>
<td>0.00026</td>
<td>0.00278</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 Calculated Value of $\gamma$ and $H/t$ for $\xi_1 = 60^\circ$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\xi$</th>
<th>$\gamma$</th>
<th>$M_\xi$</th>
<th>$H/t$</th>
<th>$\xi_2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.5500</td>
<td>0.720</td>
<td>3.000</td>
<td>1.200</td>
<td>0.325</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.6000</td>
<td>0.775</td>
<td>1.650</td>
<td>0.905</td>
<td>1.310</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.7000</td>
<td>0.850</td>
<td>0.910</td>
<td>0.610</td>
<td>2.060</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.7500</td>
<td>0.890</td>
<td>0.540</td>
<td>0.426</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.8000</td>
<td>0.930</td>
<td>0.350</td>
<td>0.230</td>
<td>5.400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.8500</td>
<td>0.970</td>
<td>0.175</td>
<td>0.135</td>
<td>9.600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.9000</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0.140</td>
<td>0.133</td>
<td>12.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\xi$</th>
<th>$\gamma$</th>
<th>$M_\xi$</th>
<th>$H/t$</th>
<th>$\xi_2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.5500</td>
<td>0.720</td>
<td>3.000</td>
<td>1.200</td>
<td>0.325</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.6000</td>
<td>0.775</td>
<td>1.650</td>
<td>0.905</td>
<td>1.310</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.7000</td>
<td>0.850</td>
<td>0.910</td>
<td>0.610</td>
<td>2.060</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.7500</td>
<td>0.890</td>
<td>0.540</td>
<td>0.426</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.8000</td>
<td>0.930</td>
<td>0.350</td>
<td>0.230</td>
<td>5.400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.8500</td>
<td>0.970</td>
<td>0.175</td>
<td>0.135</td>
<td>9.600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.9000</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0.140</td>
<td>0.133</td>
<td>12.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 1. Vortices Induced by Curtain Jet

Fig. 2. Diagram of Curtain Jet Flow in the Effect of Ground
Fig. 3. Simplified Geometric Diagram of Curtain Jet

Fig. 4. Profiles of $g$ and its derivatives (for $g_\infty = 1.000$).
Fig. 5. Impingement of a Simple Jet on Ground

Fig. 6. Mixing region meet before jet impingement to provide fully developed jet shear flow at high (h/t) ratio.

Fig. 7. Mixing region do not meet before jet impingement on ground at low (h/t) ratio.
Fig. 8. Comparison of cushion pressure due to mixing, inviscid theory, and Ribich's result.